Dodd-Frank Progress Report

October 2014

In Brief: September 2014

• **O Requirements Met, O Proposed.** No new rules were adopted or proposed to meet rulemaking requirements.


State of Play to Date:

- In the past month, no rulemaking requirement deadlines passed or were met with finalized rules, and no new rules were proposed that would meet rulemaking requirements.
- As of October 1, 2014, a total of 280 Dodd-Frank rulemaking requirement deadlines have passed. This is 70.4% of the 398 total rulemaking requirements, and 100% of the 280 rulemaking requirements with specified deadlines.
- Of these 280 passed deadlines, 115 (41.1%) have been missed and 165 (58.9%) have been met with finalized rules. Regulators have not yet released proposals for 42 of the 115 missed rules.
- Of the 398 total rulemaking requirements, 220 (55.3%) have been met with finalized rules and rules have been proposed that would meet 83 (20.9%) more. Rules have not yet been proposed to meet 95 (23.9%) rulemaking requirements.

Contents


	Dodd-Frank Rulemaking Progress by Month	4
•	Dodd-Frank Rulemaking Progress by Agency	5
	Title VII Progress on Required Rulemakings	6
•	Dodd-Frank Rulemaking Progress on Passed Deadlines	7
•	Dodd-Frank Rulemaking Progress in Select Categories	8
•	Dodd-Frank Rulemaking Progress by Due Date	9
•	Dodd-Frank Statutory Deadlines for Required Rulemakings	10
•	Dodd-Frank Study Progress by Due Date	11
•	Dodd-Frank Statutory Deadlines for Required Studies	12
	Tasks for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants	13


Dodd-Frank Rulemaking Progress by Month





Dodd-Frank Rulemaking Progress by Agency

As of October 1, 2014










Rulemaking counts are based on estimates and require judgment.

Title VII Progress on Required Rulemakings


As of October 1, 2014



CFTC Progress on Required Title VII Rulemakings


Missed Deadline: Proposed, 7


SEC Progress on Required Title VII Rulemakings



Dodd-Frank Rulemaking Progress on Passed Deadlines


As of October 1, 2014





Rulemaking counts are based on estimates and require judgment.


Values Refer to Number of Rulemaking Requirements



Rulemaking counts are based on estimates and require judgment.


Number of Required Rulemakings (Joint Rules are Counted for Each Applicable Agency)

Davis Polk Dodd-Frank Rulemaking Progress by Due Date




Rulemaking counts are based on estimates and require judgment.


Number of Required Rulemakings (Joint Rules are Counted for Each Applicable Agency)




Rulemaking counts are based on estimates and require judgment.

Number of Required Rulemakings (Joint Rules are Counted for Each Applicable Agency)





Tasks for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants



For more information, please contact hub@davispolk.com.

About the Progress Report

- The Davis Polk Dodd-Frank Progress Report is a monthly publication that uses empirical data to help market participants and policymakers assess the progress of the rulemaking and other work that has been done by regulators under the Dodd-Frank Act. Access previous reports on our website.
- The Progress Report was developed using information from Davis Polk's subscription-based Regulatory TrackerTM product. For more information on the Regulatory Tracker, please contact tracker@davispolk.com or view our brochure.
- Required, proposed, final and missed rulemakings and studies are counted based on Davis Polk's tally of statutory requirements in the Davis Polk Regulatory Tracker[™]. An agency's rule release may satisfy several statutorily required rulemakings.
- Where multiple agencies are required to issue a rule or study jointly, the requirement appears in each of their totals, which we believe most accurately reflects the staff burden on regulatory agencies.
- The term "Bank Regulators" includes the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, the FDIC and the OCC.

© 2014 Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP. This publication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. If you would rather not receive these memoranda, please respond to this email and indicate that you would like to be removed from our distribution list. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the original message, any attachments thereto and all copies. Refer to the firm's **privacy policy** located at **davispolk.com** for important information on this policy. Please add Davis Polk to your Safe Senders list or add **dpwmail@davispolk.com** to your address book.

For more information regarding the Progress Report, please contact dodd.frank.progress.report@davispolk.com.

Questions?

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this Progress Report, please contact any of the lawyers listed below or your regular Davis Polk contact.

Luigi L. De Ghenghi	212 450 4296	luigi.deghenghi@davispolk.com
John L. Douglas	212 450 4145	john.douglas@davispolk.com
Susan C. Ervin	202 962 7141	susan.ervin@davispolk.com
Randall D. Guynn	212 450 4239	randall.guynn@davispolk.com
Annette L. Nazareth	202 962 7075	annette.nazareth@davispolk.com
Lanny A. Schwartz	212 450 4174	lanny.schwartz@davispolk.com
Margaret E. Tahyar	212 450 4379	margaret.tahyar@davispolk.com
Gabriel D. Rosenberg	212 450 4537	gabriel.rosenberg@davispolk.com