Antitrust and a New Administration: Important Developments

February 4, 2021

Many have anticipated that the Biden Administration and Democratic-controlled Congress would push for changes in antitrust enforcement policy, particularly with respect to mergers. There were two developments on this front today.

First, the Federal Trade Commission and Department of Justice announced that they will be "temporarily" suspending the practice of granting early termination ("ET") of the 30-day waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act (HSR). According to the FTC and DOJ, this change is due both to the "unprecedented volume" of HSR filings for the start of the fiscal year (which began October 1, 2020) and also to the transition to a new administration. The FTC and DOJ indicated that this temporary suspension would be brief, although the agencies provided no timeline for the resumption of ET for merger reviews for transactions that pose no meaningful antitrust concerns.

It is tempting to interpret this development as a signal of more aggressive merger enforcement, although that is not mentioned expressly in the announcement. Prior Presidential transitions have occurred without suspending ET. And, with respect to the volume of filings, the FTC website shows that it received a high of 424 HSR notifications in November 2020, with other months this fiscal year averaging around 200 filings. The November surge is already past the initial 30-day waiting period, and the following months appear largely in line with historical trends. The two Republican Commissioners (Phillips and Wilson) criticized the ET moratorium on the ground that its "introduces inefficiency into market operation, harming consumers and other stakeholders involved in the transactions that would have consistently received ET at any point during the last 45 years."

Also today, Senator Amy Klobuchar (D – Minn.), Chair of the Senate Subcommittee on Antitrust, Competition Policy and Consumer Rights, introduced far-reaching legislation that would (1) impose tougher antitrust standards for mergers and exclusionary conduct, (2) adopt presumptions against large or dominant firms, and (3) increase the budgets and the remedial powers of the FTC and the DOJ's Antitrust Division. Senator Klobuchar has long advocated for more vigorous antitrust enforcement, including being a vocal critic of the perceived power of so-called "Big Tech." We would expect an extended process in moving this legislation forward as Senator Klobuchar has already announced her intention to hold a series of legislative hearings on monopoly power. While some Senate Republicans have indicated openness to legislative changes on antitrust, we would expect some significant opposition to these legislative proposals from the business community.

More broadly, we are briefing our clients on the future of antitrust enforcement in the Biden administration. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you would like to arrange a discussion.

Davis Polk

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please contact any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Sheila R. Adams	+1 212 450 3160	sheila.adams@davispolk.com
D. Jarrett Arp	+1 202 962 7150	jarrett.arp@davispolk.com
Arthur J. Burke	+1 212 450 4352	arthur.burke@davispolk.com
Ronan P. Harty	+1 212 450 4870	ronan.harty@davispolk.com
Jon Leibowitz	+1 202 962 7050	jon.leibowitz@davispolk.com
Christopher Lynch	+1 212 450 4034	christopher.lynch@davispolk.com
Mary K. Marks	+1 212 450 4016	mary.marks@davispolk.com
Suzanne Munck af Rosenschold	+1 202 962 7146	suzanne.munck@davispolk.com
Howard Shelanski	+1 202 962 7060	howard.shelanski@davispolk.com
Jesse Solomon	+1 202 962 7138	jesse.solomon@davispolk.com

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP

^{*}Ms. Munck is admitted to practice in California, and is practicing in DC under the supervision of the partners of the firm

^{© 2021} Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP | 450 Lexington Avenue | New York, NY 10017

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's **privacy notice** for further details.