

# In re Exide Technologies: A Ray of Hope for Trademark Licensees When Licensors File for Bankruptcy?

June 21, 2010 | Client Update

In a notable recent decision (*In re Exide Technologies*, Docket Number 08-1872 (3d Cir. Jun. 1, 2010)), the Third Circuit, in reversing a decision by the District of Delaware, held that a trademark license agreement, when considered as part of a set of related transaction agreements executed in connection with the sale of a business, was not an executory contract subject to rejection under Section 365(a) of Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code because the purchaser/licensee had already substantially performed under the transaction agreements taken as a single “integrated agreement”. In a concurring opinion, Judge Ambro argued that, even if a trademark license can be rejected under Section 365(a), bankruptcy courts can, and often should, use their equitable powers to preserve a trademark licensee’s rights to the licensed marks. The Third Circuit’s decision, if it stands, and the concurring opinion, if it is adopted by courts in future decisions, are significant in that they may provide practitioners with strong new tools with which to protect the interests of trademark licensees and, potentially, the hope of significantly reducing or eliminating, in certain contexts, the risk posed by a trademark licensor’s bankruptcy.

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

**Frank Azzopardi**

+1 212 450 6277  
frank.azzopardi@davispolk.com

**Matthew J. Bacal**

+1 212 450 4790  
matthew.bacal@davispolk.com

**David R. Bauer**

+1 212 450 4995  
david.bauer@davispolk.com

**Timothy Graulich**

+1 212 450 4639  
timothy.graulich@davispolk.com

**Marshall S. Huebner**

+1 212 450 4099  
marshall.huebner@davispolk.com

**Brian M. Resnick**

+1 212 450 4213  
brian.resnick@davispolk.com

**Damian S. Schaible**

+1 212 450 4580  
damian.schaible@davispolk.com

*This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.*

## Related materials

[Read the full update](#)