

SEC Staff Announces Further Relief from Conflict Minerals Reporting Requirements

April 12, 2017 | Client Update

On April 3, in the latest of a string of federal court rulings, the U.S. District Court in Washington, D.C. entered final judgment partially invalidating the SEC's conflict minerals rule, on grounds that it violates the First Amendment to the extent that a company would be required to state that its products have not been found "DRC conflict free."

In response to the ruling, the SEC staff issued updated [guidance](#) for companies preparing their Form SD filings for calendar year 2016, which are due May 31.

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Bruce K. Dallas

+1 650 752 2022
bruce.dallas@davispolk.com

Alan F. Denenberg

+1 650 752 2004
alan.denenberg@davispolk.com

Joseph A. Hall

+1 212 450 4565
joseph.hall@davispolk.com

Michael Kaplan

+1 212 450 4111
michael.kaplan@davispolk.com

Byron B. Rooney

+1 212 450 4658
byron.rooney@davispolk.com

Richard D. Truesdell, Jr.

+1 212 450 4674
richard.truesdell@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.

Related materials

[2017-04-12_sec_further_relief_conflict_minerals_reporting_requirements.pdf](#)