

Investment Management Regulatory Update - September 2006

September 11, 2006 | Client Update

On August 7, 2006, SEC Chairman Christopher Cox announced that the SEC will not seek en banc review of the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in *Goldstein v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, No. 04-1434, 2006 U.S. App. LEXIS 15760 (D.C. Cir. June 23, 2006). As discussed in greater detail in the June 23, 2006 IMG Bulletin: Controversial SEC Hedge Fund Adviser Registration Rule is Vacated, the Goldstein decision vacated the SEC's controversial rule requiring the registration of many hedge fund advisers—i.e., Rule 203(b)(3)-2 (the "Hedge Fund Rule") under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the "Advisers Act"). See Registration Under the Advisers Act of Certain Hedge Fund Advisers, 69 Fed. Reg. 72,054 (Dec. 10, 2004).

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Marlene Alva

+1 212 450 4467
marlene.alva@davispolk.com

Nora M. Jordan

+1 212 450 4684
nora.jordan@davispolk.com

Leor Landa

+1 212 450 6160
leor.landa@davispolk.com

Gregory S. Rowland

+1 212 450 4930
gregory.rowland@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.

Related materials

[Read the full update](#)