

The Supreme Court's Cyan Decision and What Happens Next

April 13, 2018 | Client Update | 2-minute read

On March 20, 2018, the Supreme Court decided [*Cyan, Inc. v. Beaver County Employees Retirement Fund*](#) (“Cyan”), ruling unanimously that, under the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act (“SLUSA”), class actions under the Securities Act of 1933 (“’33 Act”) (1) may be brought in state court, and (2) are not removable to federal court. The decision swings the doors of state courts wide open to actions asserting ’33 Act claims against issuers, officers, directors, underwriters, and others involved in the securities offering process. There is much debate about whether the Supreme Court’s construction of the relevant provisions of SLUSA, which Justice Alito at oral argument referred to as “gibberish,” make sense. Regardless of one’s views on that score, it is difficult to contest that the result of *Cyan* is, to pick a word, odd: putative class-action plaintiffs can now avoid federal court by asserting solely federal claims under the ’33 Act. Whether state courts generally or particular state courts will become, to borrow a term utilized by the Supreme Court in another leading securities law ruling, the “Shangri-La of class-action litigation for lawyers representing those allegedly” misled in the context of a securities offering remains to be seen. In any event, *Cyan* undoubtedly will be a catalyst for class-action-litigation lawyers to search for the most-plaintiff-friendly jurisdiction and thus introduce all the well-recognized perils associated with forum-shopping and inconsistent, unpredictable standards across multiple jurisdictions.

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Michael S. Flynn

+1 212 450 4766
michael.flynn@davispolk.com

Edmund Polubinski

+1 212 450 4695
edmund.polubinski@davispolk.com

Lawrence Portnoy

+1 212 450 4874
lawrence.portnoy@davispolk.com

Neal Potischman

+1 650 752 2021
neal.potischman@davispolk.com

James P. Rouhandeh

+1 212 450 4835
rouhandeh@davispolk.com

Daniel J. Schwartz

+1 212 450 4581
daniel.schwartz@davispolk.com

Dana M. Seshens

+1 212 450 4855
dana.seshens@davispolk.com

David B. Toscano

+1 212 450 4515
david.toscano@davispolk.com

Brian S. Weinstein

+1 212 450 4972
brian.weinstein@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.

Related materials

[Read the full update](#)