

# U.S. Supreme Court Says Interpretation of PRC Law Not to Receive Deference in Vitamin C Antitrust Case

July 6, 2018 | Client Update

On June 14, 2018, the U.S. Supreme Court decided that U.S. federal courts should only give “respectful consideration”—rather than conclusive deference—to a foreign government’s interpretation of its laws in a U.S. legal proceeding. In so doing, the Court vacated a ruling from the Second Circuit that had taken a more absolute bright-line approach to deferring to foreign governments’ interpretations of their laws. The Court’s ruling has important ramifications for the antitrust context and beyond, which our authors discuss in this memo.

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

**Arthur J. Burke**

+1 212 450 4352  
+1 650 752 2005  
arthur.burke@davispolk.com

**Jonathan K. Chang**

+1 650 752 2043  
jonathan.chang@davispolk.com

**Ronan P. Harty**

+1 212 450 4870  
ronan.harty@davispolk.com

**Martin Rogers**

+852 2533 3307  
martin.rogers@davispolk.com

**Howard Shelanski**

+1 202 962 7060  
howard.shelanski@davispolk.com

*This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.*

## Related materials

[Read the full update](#)