

A New Cut: Federal Reserve and U.S. Banking Agencies Propose Tailored Regulatory Framework

December 10, 2018 | Client Update

The move away from a one-size-fits-all regulatory framework based on asset size continues.

On October 31, the Federal Reserve proposed a rule to implement Section 401 of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief and Consumer Protection Act, tailoring enhanced prudential standards for firms with \$100 billion or more in total consolidated assets, and the three U.S. banking agencies proposed corresponding tailoring of their Basel III capital and liquidity rules.

Overall, the proposals would:

- for U.S. GSIBs, leave existing requirements virtually unchanged; and
- make meaningful changes to requirements applicable to U.S. regional banks that have \$100 billion or more but less than \$700 billion in total consolidated assets and less than \$75 billion in cross-jurisdictional activity and weighted short-term wholesale funding.

This visual memorandum describes the proposed tailored regulatory framework in detail, focusing not only on changes to the enhanced prudential standards and capital and liquidity rules but also on firms' reporting obligations and the further tailoring proposals that are yet to come – e.g., with respect to resolution planning and capital planning requirements.

[Read the full update](#)

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

John Banes

+1 212 450 4116
john.banes@davispolk.com

Luigi L. De Ghenghi

+1 212 450 4296
luigi.deghenghi@davispolk.com

Andrew Rohrkemper

+1 212 450 3207
andrew.rohrkemper@davispolk.com

Gabriel D. Rosenberg

+1 212 450 4537
gabriel.rosenberg@davispolk.com

Margaret E. Tahyar

+1 212 450 4379
margaret.tahyar@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.