

Federal Reserve Proposes New Supervisory Rating System for Large Financial Institutions

August 7, 2017 | Client Update | 3-minute read

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve) has proposed a [new rating system](#) for large financial institutions (LFIs). This proposal is intended to more closely align the Federal Reserve's supervisory rating system with its supervisory program for LFIs.

LFIs are (i) bank holding companies and non-insurance, non-commercial savings and loan holding companies with \$50 billion or more in total consolidated assets and (ii) U.S. intermediate holding companies of foreign banking organizations established under Regulation YY. Holding companies supervised by the Federal Reserve that are not LFIs would remain subject to the current RFI/C(D) rating system.

The proposed new system would be rolled out, and LFIs informed of their ratings, in 2018. Comments are due 60 days after the proposal is published in the Federal Register.

The key features of the proposed LFI rating system are:

- LFIs would be rated on three components:
 - **Capital planning and positions** – this would assess (1) the effectiveness of a firm's capital governance and planning processes and (2) the sufficiency of a firm's capital positions to comply with applicable regulatory requirements. For CCAR firms, the results of the firm's CCAR process would be a material part of this component.
 - **Liquidity risk management and positions** – this would assess (1) the effectiveness of a firm's liquidity governance and risk management processes and (2) the sufficiency of a firm's liquidity positions to comply with applicable regulatory requirements;
 - **Governance and controls** – this would evaluate the effectiveness of:
 - a firm's board of directors and its committees, based for BHCs and SLHCs with \$50 billion or more in total consolidated assets on board effectiveness guidance concurrently proposed by the Federal Reserve in its [corporate governance proposal](#) (please click [here](#) for our blog post on this proposed guidance),
 - the management of a firm's core business lines and independent risk management and controls, based on proposed guidance summarized by the Federal Reserve in this proposal and to be issued separately for comment in the near future, and
 - recovery planning – this would apply only to domestic LISCC firms, which currently are the eight U.S. G-SIBs.
 - For each component, institutions would be rated as "Satisfactory", "Satisfactory Watch", "Deficient-1" or "Deficient-2." An LFI would need to be rated as Satisfactory or Satisfactory Watch on all three components to be considered "well managed."
 - Unlike other supervisory rating systems, the new LFI rating system would not assign a standalone composite rating.

- The Federal Reserve also indicated that it may propose to revise the LFI rating system in the future to add a component for the sufficiency of resolution planning efforts by LISCC firms and perhaps other select LFIs.

We will shortly publish a more detailed memo on this proposed new rating system as well as a detailed memo on the proposed corporate governance guidance.

[**View as PDF**](#)

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Luigi L. De Ghenghi

+1 212 450 4296
luigi.deghenghi@davispolk.com

Andrew Rohrkemper

+1 212 450 3207
andrew.rohrkemper@davispolk.com

Margaret E. Tahyar

+1 212 450 4379
margaret.tahyar@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.