

SEC repurposes Crypto Assets and Cyber Unit

February 21, 2025 | Client Update | 3-minute read

Changes to the SEC's specialized crypto enforcement unit signal a reduced focus on non-fraud crypto cases and increased prioritization of traditional cybersecurity issues, use of emerging technologies to defraud retail investors and crypto cases involving fraud.

On February 20, 2025, the SEC formally announced the creation of the [Cyber and Emerging Technologies Unit \(CETU\)](#), a repurposed version of the Crypto Assets and Cyber Unit. The announcement signals a changed focus in crypto enforcement, prioritizing fraud cases over non-fraud registration cases. It also suggests a return to traditional cybersecurity issues identified when the [SEC created the unit in 2017](#), such as cybersecurity controls at regulated entities and different types of hacking cases. The unit also is being reduced in size, down to about 30 staff from 50, with some personnel transferring back to general enforcement work. The shift aligns with the SEC's recent announcement of a [Crypto Task Force](#), which will work to develop a comprehensive and clear regulatory framework for crypto assets and to foster a regulatory environment that supports innovation.

Notable areas of focus from the announcement

Public company cybersecurity disclosures. The prior administration had a relatively aggressive posture in evaluating cybersecurity disclosures by public companies, as evidenced by [several enforcement settlements](#) and a [mixed result](#) in litigation. In the wake of [new SEC cybersecurity disclosure rules](#), the SEC statement referring to “*fraudulent* disclosures” may signal a focus on egregious misstatements, a reliance on more conventional theories of materiality and less attention to cases involving only internal controls weaknesses.

Regulated entities' compliance with cybersecurity rules. The announcement said that the SEC would continue to prioritize cases involving “[r]egulated entities' compliance with cybersecurity rules and regulations.” The SEC has brought several [enforcement actions](#) against broker-dealers and investment advisers in recent years and, in May 2024, [expanded the cybersecurity requirements of the Safeguards Rule](#). This rule requires broker-dealers, investment advisers and investment companies to have reasonably designed policies and procedures to protect customer information. The amendments added requirements for incident response programs, customer notifications and regulatory obligations.

Fraud on retail investors. The announcement said the unit will focus on fraud involving emerging technologies. The announcement's emphasis on protecting retail investors could mean increased attention to the use of trendy industries and technology to attract retail investors in offering frauds. Similarly, the CFTC [recently announced](#) that it would focus on helping retail victims and not following an approach of regulation by enforcement. The CFTC announced two new enforcement task forces, the Retail Fraud and General Enforcement Task Force and the Complex Fraud Task Force.

Crypto fraud. The unit also will focus on fraud involving blockchain technology and crypto assets. The mention of “fraud” likely previews reduced emphasis on non-fraud registration cases. In particular, the prior administration dedicated substantial resources to litigating cases concerning the application of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to secondary crypto trading markets. The SEC has requested delays in certain cases to consider its litigation position. It bears watching whether the SEC backs away from the theories it has pursued to date.

Hacking to obtain material nonpublic information. This is a continued focus from prior administrations. Previous enforcement actions related to MNPI extracted from [newswire services](#), [law firms](#), the SEC's own [EDGAR](#) filing system and [directly from public companies' computer systems](#).

Retail brokerage account takeovers. This is another priority area from prior administrations, which [filed cases](#) involving takeovers of retail brokerage accounts to perpetrate market manipulation and drain assets from retail accounts.

Overall, the announcement demonstrates a reallocation of enforcement resources toward identifying fraud that impacts retail investors. Additional developments may come when a permanent Chairman is confirmed; former Commissioner Paul Atkins was nominated and is awaiting a Senate hearing.

Resources
Crypto Regulation Hub

Visit our Crypto Regulation Hub for links to congressional proposals related to the regulation of crypto assets and other helpful materials.

[Explore our crypto resources](#)

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Greg D. Andres

+1 212 450 4724
greg.andres@davispolk.com

Martine M. Beamon

+1 212 450 4262
martine.beamon@davispolk.com

Robert A. Cohen

+1 202 962 7047
robert.cohen@davispolk.com

Daniel S. Kahn

+1 202 962 7140
daniel.kahn@davispolk.com

Neil H. MacBride

+1 202 962 7035
neil.macbride@davispolk.com

Tatiana R. Martins

+1 212 450 4085
tatiana.martins@davispolk.com

Fiona R. Moran

+1 202 962 7137
fiona.moran@davispolk.com

Stefani Johnson Myrick

+1 202 962 7165
stefani.myrick@davispolk.com

Fuad Rana

+1 202 962 7053
fuad.rana@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.