

China Antitrust Review 2014

January 28, 2015 | Client Update

This year marked the sixth anniversary of China's Anti-Monopoly Law ("AML") and a year of significant developments for antitrust enforcement in China.

China has three separate antitrust regulators: (i) the Ministry of Commerce ("MOFCOM") is responsible for reviewing merger control cases; (ii) the National Development and Reform Commission ("NDRC") is responsible for price-related conduct; and (iii) the State Administration for Industry and Commerce ("SAIC") is responsible for non-price related conduct.

In 2014, MOFCOM took enforcement action against five proposed transactions, including one which it prohibited (the P3 shipping joint venture), marking only the second time in the AML's history that MOFCOM has barred a transaction outright. Beyond merger enforcement, the two other state authorities, the National Development and Reform Commission ("NDRC") and the State Administration for Industry and Commerce ("SAIC"), intensified their enforcement efforts in 2014. Some of these initiatives have been criticized at the highest levels of the U.S. government.

Private antitrust litigation takes place in China, both explicitly under the AML and more generally within its civil law. In 2014, China's Supreme Court ruled on its first antitrust case since the inception of the AML, affirming the dismissal of a tying case.

These developments should be considered carefully by companies doing business in China or contemplating transactions that require clearance pursuant to the AML.

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Arthur J. Burke

+1 212 450 4352
+1 650 752 2005
arthur.burke@davispolk.com

Arthur F. Golden

+1 212 450 4388
arthur.golden@davispolk.com

Ronan P. Harty

+1 212 450 4870
ronan.harty@davispolk.com

Miranda So

+852 2533 3373
miranda.so@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.

Related materials

[Read the full update](#)