

Third Circuit Holds Non-Cash Consideration – Such As “No Authorized Generic” Agreements – Can Constitute Unlawful “Pay For Delay”

June 29, 2015 | Client Update

First appellate court decision applying *FTC v. Actavis* (S.Ct. 2013). Court finds rule of reason analysis should be applied where non-cash consideration - including agreement not to launch authorized generic - flows from patent holder to alleged infringer.

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Arthur J. Burke

+1 212 450 4352
+1 650 752 2005
arthur.burke@davispolk.com

Arthur F. Golden

+1 212 450 4388
arthur.golden@davispolk.com

Ronan P. Harty

+1 212 450 4870
ronan.harty@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.

Related materials

[Read the full update](#)