

# White Collar Update: Supreme Court Will Decide Reach of Insider Trading Law

January 25, 2016 | Client Update

To be guilty of insider trading, a tipper of inside information must receive a “personal benefit” in exchange for his tips. On January 19, 2016, the Supreme Court granted *certiorari* in *Salman v. United States* to decide what the prosecution must prove to establish that a tipper received a “personal benefit.” In *Salman*, the Ninth Circuit held that a personal benefit is established when tips are made to a relative or friend as a gift. The Ninth Circuit disagreed with the Second Circuit’s opinion in *United States v. Newman*, which interpreted “personal benefit” to require more than a friendship or familial relationship between the tipper and tippee. In July 2015, the Department of Justice unsuccessfully

[petitioned](#)

the Supreme Court to hear and reverse *Newman*. United States Attorney Preet Bharara from the Southern District of New York has [said](#) that the *Newman* ruling will make it “very hard if not impossible to bring a certain kind of insider trading case.” Although the Supreme Court declined to hear *Newman*, that it has decided to hear *Salman* so soon after suggests that it wants to clarify the proper standard and that *Salman* provides the perfect opportunity to do so.

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

**Greg D. Andres**

+1 212 450 4724  
greg.andres@davispolk.com

**Martine M. Beamon**

+1 212 450 4262  
martine.beamon@davispolk.com

**Angela T. Burgess**

+1 212 450 4885  
angela.burgess@davispolk.com

**Neil H. MacBride**

+1 202 962 7035  
neil.macbride@davispolk.com

**Denis J. McInerney**

+1 212 450 4477  
denis.mcinerney@davispolk.com

**Neal Potischman**

+1 650 752 2021  
neal.potischman@davispolk.com

**James P. Rouhandeh**

+1 212 450 4835  
rouhandeh@davispolk.com

**Linda Chatman Thomsen**

+1 202 962 7125  
linda.thomsen@davispolk.com

*This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.*

## Related materials

[Read the full update](#)