

PCAOB Proposals Would Significantly Impact Public Company Audits

December 11, 2013 | Client Update

Today the public comment period ended for [two proposals by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board](#) that we believe could dramatically increase the scope, length, cost and complexity of the public company audit. As we described in our [September 10 client newsflash](#), the proposals would:

- require the auditor to make an express statement within the audit report as to the absence of material misstatements in the company’s annual report – including all non-financial statement information, and
- require the auditor to communicate in the audit report “critical audit matters,” defined as “those matters addressed during the audit that (1) involved the most difficult, subjective, or complex auditor judgments; (2) posed the most difficulty to the auditor in obtaining sufficient appropriate evidence; or (3) posed the most difficulty to the auditor in forming the opinion on the financial statements.”

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Alan F. Denenberg

+1 650 752 2004
alan.denenberg@davispolk.com

Joseph A. Hall

+1 212 450 4565
joseph.hall@davispolk.com

Michael Kaplan

+1 212 450 4111
michael.kaplan@davispolk.com

Richard D. Truesdell, Jr.

+1 212 450 4674
richard.truesdell@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.

Related materials

[12.11.13.PCAOB_.Proposals.HTML](#)