

Legal Interpretations in Examination Appeals Should be More Transparent

April 30, 2018 | Client Update

Davis Polk has submitted a [comment letter](#) on the Federal Reserve's [proposed amendments](#) to its guidelines on internal appeals of material supervisory determinations (the **Proposal**). While we understand, of course, that discretion is a necessary element of supervision, our concern is with discretion that is insufficiently accountable, involves legal interpretation more often than is realized and takes place behind the curtain of confidential supervisory information. In our view, this realm of secret legal interpretation should be more limited, transparent and accountable.

To that end, our comment letter proposes clarifications and improvements to the Proposal to support greater consistency in the appeals process and to better align the Federal Reserve's internal appeals process for material supervisory determinations with the rule of law and the principles of transparency and accountability.

[Read the full comment letter](#)

[View as PDF](#)

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Margaret E. Tahyar

+1 212 450 4379

margaret.tahyar@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.