

Supreme Court rejects FTC's disgorgement and restitution authority under Section 13(b)

April 23, 2021 | Client Update

In a unanimous decision, the Supreme Court held in *AMG Capital Management, LLC v. FTC* that the FTC does not have authority to seek disgorgement or restitution under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act. The decision eliminates one of the FTC's most frequently used enforcement tools and may result in more administrative proceedings.

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please reach out to any of the lawyers listed below or your usual Davis Polk contact.

Arthur J. Burke

+1 212 450 4352
+1 650 752 2005
arthur.burke@davispolk.com

Ronan P. Harty

+1 212 450 4870
ronan.harty@davispolk.com

Suzanne Munck af Rosenschold

+1 202 962 7146
suzanne.munck@davispolk.com

Michael Scheinkman

+1 212 450 4754
michael.scheinkman@davispolk.com

Howard Shelanski

+1 202 962 7060
howard.shelanski@davispolk.com

This communication, which we believe may be of interest to our clients and friends of the firm, is for general information only. It is not a full analysis of the matters presented and should not be relied upon as legal advice. This may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Please refer to the firm's privacy notice for further details.

Related materials

[supreme_court_rejects_ftcs_disgorgement_and_restitution_authority_under_section_13b_.pdf](#)