

# Private M&A 2021

Contributing editors

**Will Pearce and Louis L Goldberg**

*Davis Polk*



Clients turn to us for exceptional service, sophisticated advice and creative, practical solutions.

Davis Polk is an elite global law firm with world-class practices across the board. Industry-leading companies and global financial institutions know they can rely on us for their most challenging legal and business matters.

Learn more at [davispolk.com](https://www.davispolk.com).



New York  
Northern California  
Washington DC  
São Paulo  
London

Paris  
Madrid  
Hong Kong  
Beijing  
Tokyo

# Davis Polk

[davispolk.com](https://www.davispolk.com)

© 2020 Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP  
Attorney Advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

**Publisher**

Tom Barnes  
tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

**Subscriptions**

Claire Bagnall  
claire.bagnall@lbresearch.com

**Senior business development manager**

Adam Sargent  
adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

**Published by**

Law Business Research Ltd  
Meridian House, 34-35 Farringdon Street  
London, EC4A 4HL, UK

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between August and September 2020. Be advised that this is a developing area.

© Law Business Research Ltd 2020  
No photocopying without a CLA licence.  
First published 2017  
Fourth edition  
ISBN 978-1-83862-386-9

Printed and distributed by  
Encompass Print Solutions  
Tel: 0844 2480 112



---

# Private M&A

## 2021

**Contributing editors****Will Pearce and Louis L Goldberg****Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP**

---

Lexology Getting The Deal Through is delighted to publish the fourth edition of *Private M&A*, which is available in print and online at [www.lexology.com/gtdt](http://www.lexology.com/gtdt).

Lexology Getting The Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique Lexology Getting The Deal Through format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured. Our coverage this year includes new chapters on the Dominican Republic, Georgia, New Zealand, South Korea, Thailand and Zambia.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at [www.lexology.com/gtdt](http://www.lexology.com/gtdt).

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editors, Will Pearce and Louis L Goldberg of Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, for their continued assistance with this volume.



London  
September 2020

---

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd  
This article was first published in October 2020  
For further information please contact [editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com](mailto:editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com)

# Contents

<b>Comparing UK and US private M&amp;A transactions</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>China</b>	<b>73</b>
Will Pearce and William Tong Davis Polk & Wardwell London LLP		Jie Lan and Jiangshan (Jackson) Tang Haiwen & Partners Howard Zhang Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	
<b>The use of completion accounts in private M&amp;A transactions</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>Costa Rica</b>	<b>80</b>
Tom Crossland and Sam Morley Deloitte		Esteban Agüero Guier and Laura Rodríguez Amador Aguilar Castillo Love	
<b>M&amp;A insurance: boring uncle or cool cousin? Creating value and inspiring other key deal insights to success</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>Denmark</b>	<b>86</b>
Piers Johansen and Dominic Rose Aon		Anders Ørjan Jensen and Charlotte Thorsen Gorrissen Federspiel	
<b>Data privacy and cybersecurity in global dealmaking</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>Dominican Republic</b>	<b>94</b>
Pritesh Shah, Matthew Bacal and Daniel Forester Davis Polk & Wardwell London LLP		Fabio Guzmán Saladín and Pamela Benzán Arbaje Guzmán Ariza	
<b>HR, incentives and retention issues in M&amp;A transactions</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>Egypt</b>	<b>99</b>
Matthew Emms BDO LLP		Omar S Bassiouny, Maha El Meihy and Khaled Diaa Matouk Bassiouny & Hennawy	
<b>Foreign direct investment controls in cross-border acquisitions</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>Finland</b>	<b>106</b>
Nicholas Spearing, Matthew Yeowart, Léonore De Mullewie and Charlie Burrell Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP		Fredrik Lassenius and Kim Ekqvist Waselius & Wist	
<b>Australia</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>France</b>	<b>114</b>
Michael Wallin, Jessica Perry and Andrew Jiang MinterEllison		Jacques Naquet-Radiguet Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	
<b>Austria</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>Georgia</b>	<b>121</b>
Christian Herbst and Maximilian Lang Schoenherr		Archil Giorgadze and Ana Kochiashvili MG Law Office	
<b>Belgium</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>Germany</b>	<b>128</b>
Dries Hommez and Florent Volckaert Stibbe		Alexander Schwarz and Ralf Morshäuser Gleiss Lutz	
<b>Brazil</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>Greece</b>	<b>137</b>
Marcelo Viveiros de Moura, Marcos Saldanha Proença and André Santa Ritta Pinheiro Neto Advogados		Catherine Marie Karatzas, Alexandra Kondyli and Olga Vinieri Karatzas & Partners Law Firm	
<b>Canada</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>Hong Kong</b>	<b>144</b>
John Mercury, James McClary, Bryan Haynes, Ian Michael, Kristopher Hanc and Drew Broughton Bennett Jones LLP		Yang Chu, Miranda So and Sam Kelso Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	
		<b>Indonesia</b>	<b>153</b>
		Yozua Makes Makes & Partners	

<b>Israel</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>Singapore</b>	<b>257</b>
Sharon A Amir and Idan Lidor Naschitz Brandes Amir		Andrew Ang, Ong Sin Wei and James Choo WongPartnership LLP	
<b>Italy</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>Sudan</b>	<b>267</b>
Filippo Troisi and Francesco Florio Legance Avvocati Associati		Mahmoud Bassiouny, Omar Bassiouny and Yassir Ali Matouk Bassiouny in association with AIH Law Firm	
<b>Luxembourg</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>Sweden</b>	<b>272</b>
Claire-Marie Darnand, Michaël Meylan and Bernard Beerens Stibbe		Peter Sundgren and Matthias Pannier Vinge	
<b>Malaysia</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>Switzerland</b>	<b>279</b>
Dato' Foong Chee Meng, Tan Chien Li, Khor Wei Min and Vivian Chew Li Voon Foong and Partners		Claude Lambert, Reto Heuberger and Andreas Müller Homburger	
<b>Myanmar</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>Taiwan</b>	<b>287</b>
Takeshi Mukawa, Win Naing, Julian Barendse and Nirmalan Amirthanesan Myanmar Legal MHM Limited		Kai-Hua Yu and Yeng Lu LCS & Partners	
<b>Netherlands</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>Thailand</b>	<b>293</b>
Hans Witteveen and Jeroen Tjaden Stibbe		Panuwat Chalongkuamdee, Natira Siripun, Thannawat Apitukkakul and Pakjira Promkasetrin SRPP Limited	
<b>New Zealand</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>Turkey</b>	<b>303</b>
Erich Bachmann, Kate Telford and Julika Wahlmann-Smith Hesketh Henry		Noyan Turunç, Esin Çamlıbel and Kerem Turunç Turunç	
<b>Norway</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>United Arab Emirates</b>	<b>311</b>
Ole Kristian Aabø-Evensen Aabø-Evensen & Co		Malack El Masry and Ragia El Salosy Matouk Bassiouny & Ibrahim	
<b>Philippines</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>United Kingdom</b>	<b>319</b>
Lily K Gruba and Jorge Alfonso C Melo Zambrano Gruba Caganda & Advincula		Will Pearce, Simon J Little and William Tong Davis Polk & Wardwell London LLP	
<b>Portugal</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>United States</b>	<b>328</b>
Francisco Santos Costa Cuatrecasas		Cheryl Chan, Darren Schweiger and Evan Rosen Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	
<b>Serbia</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>Zambia</b>	<b>337</b>
Nenad Stankovic, Sara Pendjer, Tijana Kovacevic and Mitar Simonovic Stankovic & Partners NSTLaw		Joseph Jalasi, Mailesi Undi and Cynthia Kafwelu Mzumara Eric Silwamba, Jalasi & Linyama Legal Practitioners	

# United Kingdom

Will Pearce, Simon J Little and William Tong

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP

## STRUCTURE AND PROCESS, LEGAL REGULATION AND CONSENTS

### Structure

- 1 How are acquisitions and disposals of privately owned companies, businesses or assets structured in your jurisdiction? What might a typical transaction process involve and how long does it usually take?

Typically, a contract, referred to as a sale and purchase agreement, is executed between the relevant parties to acquire or dispose of privately owned companies, businesses or assets. Privately owned companies can also be acquired by 'contractual offer' followed by a minority squeeze-out, provided that the offer is made in accordance with Part 28 of the UK Companies Act 2006 (CA 2006), or by a 'scheme of arrangement' proposed by the company to be acquired in accordance with Part 26 of the CA 2006.

The process of acquiring a company, business or assets will often turn on the complexity of issues and the number of parties involved, as well as whether the transaction involves a bilateral negotiation or a controlled auction process with multiple potential buyers.

An auction process in which interest from several buyers is solicited will typically involve:

- drafting an information memorandum as the basis of marketing the company, business or assets, completion of vendor due diligence and drafting of a sale and purchase agreement and other sale documents (approximately six to eight weeks);
- 'round one' expressions of interest from potential buyers who will then be permitted to undertake due diligence (approximately four weeks);
- 'round two' offers by potential buyers with mark-ups of the transaction documentation (approximately four weeks); and
- negotiation of transaction documentation with one or more buyers until definitive terms are agreed with one party (up to two weeks).

The larger and more international the target company, business or assets, the longer each phase of a process can take. Up to three months will often elapse between the distribution of an information memorandum and the execution of definitive transaction documents. A bilateral transaction can take longer to complete owing to the lack of competitive tension in the process.

### Legal regulation

- 2 Which laws regulate private acquisitions and disposals in your jurisdiction? Must the acquisition of shares in a company, a business or assets be governed by local law?

The CA 2006 sets out the regulatory framework for English limited liability companies. There are a range of statutes and regulations dealing

with the transfer of employees, title to property, data protection, pensions and competition that are relevant to private acquisitions and disposals.

Although most sales of English companies will be governed by English law, it is possible for acquisitions to be governed by the law of an overseas jurisdiction. However, legal formalities applicable to the transfer of shares and assets and liabilities that are subject to local law will have to be complied with.

### Legal title

- 3 What legal title to shares in a company, a business or assets does a buyer acquire? Is this legal title prescribed by law or can the level of assurance be negotiated by a buyer? Does legal title to shares in a company, a business or assets transfer automatically by operation of law? Is there a difference between legal and beneficial title?

Under the Law of Property (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1994, shares of an English company or assets located in England and Wales can be acquired with 'full title guarantee' or 'limited title guarantee' (referred to as title covenants). Subject to certain additional covenants that apply in respect of real property, a sale with full title guarantee means:

- the seller has the right to sell the property;
- the seller will (at its own cost) make reasonable efforts to give the buyer the property that is promised; and
- the property is free from charges, encumbrances and third-party rights except those that the seller does not and could not reasonably be expected to know.

Limited title guarantee is the same except that the property is considered to be free from encumbrances from the time of the last sale for value. Title covenants will not be implied if transaction documentation makes no reference to them. However, a buyer may be unwilling to accept the reasonableness and knowledge qualifications included in implied title covenants and prefer to negotiate unqualified title to shares or assets.

Title to shares in a company incorporated under the CA 2006 transfers upon the company's register of members being updated to reflect the buyer as the registered holder of the shares following receipt by the company of an instrument of transfer duly executed by the seller (and the buyer, if the nominal value of each share has not been paid in full). The transfer of title to assets subject to English law may require notifications to be given, consents from third parties to be obtained and registrations to be made.

Legal and beneficial title are distinct interests in property. A person registered as holding the legal title to a share in a company incorporated under the CA 2006 may be a nominee with a different party having the right to receive the economic benefits of the share. Accordingly, the beneficial interest can be transferred without having to update the register of members of the company. Interests in other assets, such as real estate, can be held in the same way.

## Multiple sellers

- 4 | Specifically in relation to the acquisition or disposal of shares in a company, where there are multiple sellers, must everyone agree to sell for the buyer to acquire all shares? If not, how can minority sellers that refuse to sell be squeezed out or dragged along by a buyer?

Typically a buyer will prefer all sellers to sign the transaction documentation and agree to be bound by the same.

Minority shareholders may, however, be required to sell their shares pursuant to 'drag-along' provisions contained in a company's articles of association or in a shareholders' agreement requiring the transfer of title to their shares if specified conditions are satisfied.

Further, if a private acquisition is structured as a contractual offer under the CA 2006, the buyer can compulsorily acquire minority shareholders who have not accepted the offer once the buyer has acquired at least 90 per cent in value and 90 per cent of the voting rights carried by the shares to which the offer relates. If structured as a scheme of arrangement, a scheme binds all minority shareholders provided a majority in number representing 75 per cent in value of those shareholders attending and voting approve an arrangement that is sanctioned by the English Companies Court.

## Exclusion of assets or liabilities

- 5 | Specifically in relation to the acquisition or disposal of a business, are there any assets or liabilities that cannot be excluded from the transaction by agreement between the parties? Are there any consents commonly required to be obtained or notifications to be made in order to effect the transfer of assets or liabilities in a business transfer?

As a matter of English contract law, a buyer can generally choose which assets or liabilities it wishes to acquire in a transaction that is structured as a business or asset sale.

However, a buyer cannot structure a transaction as a business or asset sale with a view to avoiding responsibilities to employees engaged in the target business. The Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006 (as amended) (TUPE) apply to the acquisition of a business in the UK, and require that contracts of employment are automatically transferred to the buyer of a business and that employee benefits must be honoured. There are very few exceptions to TUPE, and attempts to exclude its application will be void.

A transfer of assets or liabilities may require customary third-party consents: for example, a landlord's consent to the assignment of a lease or a counterparty's consent to the assignment or novation of a contract.

## Consents

- 6 | Are there any legal, regulatory or governmental restrictions on the transfer of shares in a company, a business or assets in your jurisdiction? Do transactions in particular industries require consent from specific regulators or a governmental body? Are transactions commonly subject to any public or national interest considerations?

Selling shareholders may be subject to 'pre-emptive' and 'tag-along' rights. Pre-emptive rights require a shareholder to offer their shares for sale to other shareholders before they can be sold to a third party. Such rights may take the form of a right of first offer, whereby the selling shareholder must negotiate a sale with the other shareholders before approaching third parties, or a right of first refusal, whereby the selling shareholder must give the other shareholders the right to match the terms of a sale that has been negotiated with a third party. Tag-along

rights restrict the ability of a selling shareholder to transfer his or her shares in a transaction that excludes other shareholders.

Acquisitions of companies and businesses in the UK are subject to the merger control regime set out in the UK Enterprise Act 2002, pursuant to which the UK Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) may investigate transactions that satisfy certain jurisdictional thresholds, either upon receipt of a notification from the parties or on its own initiative. The UK Enterprise Act 2002 provides two jurisdictional thresholds:

- a 'share of supply' test, which is satisfied when a transaction creates or enhances a 25 per cent share of supply or purchases of any goods or services in the UK (or in a substantial part of it) (this is not a market share test, and the CMA has a wide discretion in describing the relevant goods or services); and
- a 'turnover test', which is satisfied when the enterprise over which control is acquired has generated turnover in the UK in the preceding fiscal year exceeding £70 million.

Since 11 June 2018, lower thresholds have applied to transactions in the military and dual-use goods, computing hardware and quantum technology sectors, where the 'turnover test' threshold is £1 million and the 'share of supply' test is satisfied even if the acquirer has no share (provided the target has an existing share of supply of 25 per cent or more). The UK government has also laid plans to expand the scope of the lower threshold to transactions in the following sectors: artificial intelligence, cryptographic authentication technology and advanced materials.

Notification of a transaction to the CMA is voluntary. However, in practice, a large number of transactions are notified to give parties legal certainty, as the CMA may commence an investigation on its own initiative and subsequently refer a transaction to a 'Phase 2' review.

The CMA has a duty to refer transactions for a Phase 2 review where it believes there is, or may be, a relevant merger situation that has resulted in or may be expected to result in a substantial lessening of competition in the UK. If a transaction is subject to a Phase 2 review, without the CMA's consent, parties to a completed merger are prohibited from undertaking further integration, and parties to an anticipated merger are prohibited from acquiring an interest in shares in each other. The CMA also has power to accept undertakings or make orders preventing parties from taking an action that might prejudice the outcome of a Phase 2 reference.

Note that up until the end of the Brexit transition period (currently planned to end on 31 December 2020), the European Commission will continue to have exclusive jurisdiction over transactions that fall within the scope of the European Union Merger Regulation (including with respect to any effects on the UK market). As such, these transactions will not (with limited exceptions) be subject to scrutiny by the CMA under the Enterprise Act 2002 provided that they are formally notified to the EC prior to the end of the transition period. The future relationship between the EU and UK merger control regimes after the transition period remains subject to negotiation but it is expected that transactions meeting both the EU and UK merger control thresholds will run the risk of being reviewed by the European Commission and the CMA in parallel.

The UK does not subject the acquisition of companies, businesses or assets to general national industry considerations, although the Secretary of State has the power:

- under the UK Enterprise Act 2002 to intervene in transactions giving rise to public interest concerns relating to national security, media quality, plurality and standards and financial stability; and
- under the Industry Act 1975 to prohibit the acquisition by an overseas person of an important manufacturing undertaking if it would be contrary to the interests of the UK (although, to date, this power has not been exercised).

## 7 | Are any other third-party consents commonly required?

The need to obtain a third-party consent will turn on the law governing the transfer of the relevant assets and liabilities. With respect to assets and liabilities governed by English law to be transferred in connection with the acquisition of a business, the agreement of the counterparties to contractual arrangements will be required to transfer obligations of the seller to the buyer and the assignment of the benefits of contractual arrangements may be subject to counterparty consent.

The consent of landlords to transfer English leasehold property and the consent or waiver of rights of lenders to transfer English law-governed loans is ordinarily required in connection with the acquisition of a company, business or assets. Where security has been granted pursuant to English law over the assets of a company or business, releases and non-crystallisation certificates will normally be required.

Where certain assets to be transferred are required to be registered under English law, the transfer of ownership will be completed only once the relevant register is updated.

### Regulatory filings

## 8 | Must regulatory filings be made or registration (or other official) fees paid to acquire shares in a company, a business or assets in your jurisdiction?

Where shares in an English company are to be transferred, the new holder of the shares will not be entered in the register of members of the company until all stamp duty has been paid.

Where certain assets to be transferred are required to be registered under English law, registration may not be completed by the relevant authority until the relevant registration or filing fees have been paid. These fees are generally of a nominal amount.

## ADVISERS, NEGOTIATION AND DOCUMENTATION

### Appointed advisers

## 9 | In addition to external lawyers, which advisers might a buyer or a seller customarily appoint to assist with a transaction? Are there any typical terms of appointment of such advisers?

Parties will typically appoint a financial adviser and accountants to assist with a transaction. The financial adviser will provide strategic and valuation advice, and the accountants will assist with accounting matters, financial and tax diligence and tax structure. Strategy and business consultants may also be engaged to conduct commercial due diligence. If a party to a transaction has securities listed on a stock exchange, public relations advisers are often appointed to coordinate announcements that may have to be made to the public market.

Most professional advisers have standard terms of engagement that they will agree with the buyer or seller, as the case may be. The level of fees will typically depend on the monetary value of the deal, the complexity of the issues, the timetable for the transaction and the nature of any required work product. In aggregate, a buyer's financial, accounting and legal advisory fees may amount to several percentage points of the monetary value of the deal.

### Duty of good faith

## 10 | Is there a duty to negotiate in good faith? Are the parties subject to any other duties when negotiating a transaction?

English contract law does not impose a general duty to negotiate in good faith, so parties to a transaction are permitted to pursue their own self-interest. It is, however, possible for parties to impose an obligation

to act in good faith, for example, pursuant to heads of terms that are expressed to be legally binding.

While there is no general duty to act in good faith, directors of a UK company are subject to fiduciary and statutory duties that include the duty to act in a way that such director considers, in good faith, promotes the success of the company for the benefit of its members as a whole, and financial advisers are subject to certain standards of professional conduct monitored by the UK Financial Conduct Authority.

### Documentation

## 11 | What documentation do buyers and sellers customarily enter into when acquiring shares or a business or assets? Are there differences between the documents used for acquiring shares as opposed to a business or assets?

When acquiring shares, a business or assets, parties to a transaction will customarily enter into:

- a confidentiality agreement governing the exchange of confidential information relating to the transaction;
- a sale and purchase agreement setting out the terms of the transaction, which will be substantially similar whether shares, a business or assets are being acquired except that in respect of a business or asset acquisition there will be detailed provisions defining the scope of the assets and liabilities that are to be transferred to the buyer, and mechanisms (a 'wrong pockets' clause) to address the misallocation of assets and liabilities between the seller and buyer;
- a disclosure letter in which general and specific disclosures are made by the seller qualifying the warranties included in the sale and purchase agreement;
- a transitional services agreement specifying the basis upon which the seller will ensure the continued provision of certain services to the target company or business by the seller or its affiliates following completion of the transaction; and
- documents to transfer or register title to assets that, in respect of the acquisition of shares in a UK company, will consist of a stock transfer form and, in respect of the acquisition of a business or assets, will consist of notifications to update registers of, for example, real property and intellectual property.

In addition:

- a buyer will often deliver one or more offer letters to a seller expressing its interest in the transaction and the terms, including the price, upon which it would be willing to proceed;
- in a bilateral transaction, the parties may negotiate heads of terms in an attempt to ensure that resources are not wasted evaluating a transaction before key terms are agreed; and
- key members of management in the target business may enter into new employment agreements to secure their continued employment following completion of the transaction.

## 12 | Are there formalities for executing documents? Are digital signatures enforceable?

English law draws a distinction between the execution of simple contracts and the execution of deeds. Certain documents must be executed as deeds, including transfers of interests in land, mortgages and charges and powers of attorney. The failure to observe any applicable formalities for execution could cause a document to be invalid and unenforceable.

Simple contracts require the signature of a suitably authorised person to be effective. Additional formalities must be observed for the execution of deeds, which include, in respect of execution by a natural person, execution in the presence of a witness and, in respect of

execution by a company incorporated under the CA 2006, execution by two directors, a director and a company secretary, or a director, in the presence of a witness. It is best practice to be able to demonstrate that execution occurred when the relevant document had been finalised and fully assembled, either physically or electronically, in accordance with guidance issued by the Law Society of England and Wales ('Execution of documents by virtual means').

Electronic signatures are enforceable pursuant to the EU electronic identification, authentication and trust services Regulation (910/2014/EC), although wet-ink original documents are normally required, for example, by Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs, where documents are submitted because stamp duty applies. The Law Society of England and Wales has issued guidance regarding the use of electronic signatures ('Execution of a document using an electronic signature') and a note on their position on the use of virtual execution and e-signature during the covid-19 pandemic.

**DUE DILIGENCE AND DISCLOSURE**

**Scope of due diligence**

13 | What is the typical scope of due diligence in your jurisdiction? Do sellers usually provide due diligence reports to prospective buyers? Can buyers usually rely on due diligence reports produced for the seller?

Due diligence provides potential buyers with the opportunity to evaluate the legal, financial, tax and commercial position of a company, business or assets. Legal due diligence will typically confirm title to the company or business, the legal structure, terms of financial obligations, ownership and use of information technology, intellectual and real property, physical assets, employee arrangements, litigation and compliance with law.

Vendor due diligence reports are a common feature of controlled sales processes in the UK, enabling a seller to accelerate the sale process, minimise disruption to the target business and access to management, and explain any complexities associated with the transaction. It is customary for a successful buyer, and its lenders, to be able to rely on certain of such vendor due diligence reports, although buyers will often also complete confirmatory due diligence to complete their evaluation of a transaction.

**Liability for statements**

14 | Can a seller be liable for pre-contractual or misleading statements? Can any such liability be excluded by agreement between the parties?

A seller can be liable for pre-contractual misrepresentations although, except with respect to fraudulent misrepresentations, sale and purchase agreements usually limit a seller's liability to claims for breach of contract and exclude liability for pre-contractual and misleading statements.

**Publicly available information**

15 | What information is publicly available on private companies and their assets? What searches of such information might a buyer customarily carry out before entering into an agreement?

English companies are required to make extensive filings with the Registrar of Companies that are made publicly available online, including:

- the company's articles of association;
- audited financial statements;
- details of the board of directors and people with significant control over the company;

- special shareholder resolutions (requiring approval by at least 75 per cent of participating shareholders);
- details of changes to the company's share capital;
- mortgages over the company's assets; and
- an annual confirmation statement confirming that all required information has been delivered to the Registrar of Companies.

Details of the ownership of real property, mortgages and charges and other attributes of real property are available at Her Majesty's Land Register and through local authorities responsible for the area in which the real property is located. Details of registered intellectual property, such as patents and trademarks, can be obtained from the UK Intellectual Property Office. Details of any authorisations held by a financial services business can be obtained from an online search of the UK Financial Conduct Authority's register.

A buyer of a company will typically carry out a search of the information filed with the Registrar of Companies and confirm with the Companies Court that a winding up petition has not been lodged in respect of the company. Searches may also be performed in respect of those registered assets that are regarded as being material to a transaction. Nominal fees are generally payable to carry out such searches.

**Impact of deemed or actual knowledge**

16 | What impact might a buyer's actual or deemed knowledge have on claims it may seek to bring against a seller relating to a transaction?

A buyer's knowledge at the time of entering into an acquisition will preclude a claim for breach of implied title covenant. Otherwise, if a buyer is not explicitly precluded by the sale and purchase agreement from claiming in respect of matters about which it has knowledge at the time of entering into the agreement, then a claim would not be expected to be automatically excluded.

However, it is arguable that if a buyer has actual knowledge of a matter at the time of entering into a sale and purchase agreement, a claim cannot be preserved through a specific contractual provision. Accordingly, a sale and purchase agreement would usually specify whether a buyer's actual, constructive or imputed knowledge will qualify the seller's warranties.

**PRICING, CONSIDERATION AND FINANCING**

**Determining pricing**

17 | How is pricing customarily determined? Is the use of closing accounts or a locked-box structure more common?

Closing accounts and locked boxes are commonly used to determine pricing. Auctions of companies, particularly conducted by private equity funds, typically use locked-box pricing, as this forces a buyer to diligence the accounts before agreeing the deal and provides greater certainty for the seller on an exit. Closing accounts may prove more suitable where a company or business is being carved-out from the seller's group.

**Form of consideration**

18 | What form does consideration normally take? Is there any overriding obligation to pay multiple sellers the same consideration?

Cash is the most common form of consideration in private M&A transactions. Other forms of consideration are principally driven by the tax position of the seller, who may defer a capital charge by receiving securities.

There is no statutory obligation to pay multiple sellers the same consideration in respect of an acquisition by way of a sale and purchase

agreement. However, if the transaction is structured as a contractual offer, the same consideration must be paid to all shareholders in order for the buyer to avail itself of the statutory squeeze-out mechanism. If shareholders are offered different consideration in connection with a transaction structured as a scheme of arrangement they will comprise different classes, with each class participating in the scheme of arrangement having the opportunity to approve the proposal made to them.

### Earn-outs, deposits and escrows

#### 19 | Are earn-outs, deposits and escrows used?

Earn-outs and deposits are not common features of transactions. Earn-outs may be negotiated where pricing reflects expectations of significant growth, and deposits may be used if a buyer is based in a jurisdiction where there is a degree of uncertainty about its ability to proceed to completion. Escrows are commonly used as security for warranty claims although there has been a shift in recent years to the use of warranty and indemnity insurance for such claims.

### Financing

#### 20 | How are acquisitions financed? How is assurance provided that financing will be available?

Bank-led acquisition financing is a common feature of private M&A transactions. However, buyers increasingly borrow from alternative finance providers, such as direct lending funds and institutional investors. Where an acquisition is highly leveraged, payment-in-kind instruments may be included in the financing structure, and for acquisitions of a sufficient size, high-yield bond financing may be a financing component employed by a buyer.

In a controlled sales process, financing is often required to be provided on a 'certain funds' basis, broadly mirroring the approach taken on public takeovers in the UK. However, unlike in the context of public takeovers, there is no regulatory regime to comply with or oversight by a financial adviser with respect to certainty of funding, so documentation, conditionality and flexibility can vary significantly from deal to deal.

Where a newly incorporated entity is to be the buyer and requires capital, for example from a private equity fund, the seller will typically be provided with a directly enforceable equity commitment letter that will be conditional upon satisfaction of the conditions set out in the sale and purchase agreement and any debt financing arrangements. An equity commitment letter will typically require the buyer to draw on any debt financing that has been negotiated, but the provider of equity capital to the buyer will not usually be required to increase its equity contribution in the event that a lender defaults on its commitment to advance finance.

### Limitations on financing structure

#### 21 | Are there any limitations that impact the financing structure? Is a seller restricted from giving financial assistance to a buyer in connection with a transaction?

English private limited companies and public limited companies are prohibited from giving 'financial assistance' in connection with, among other things, the acquisition of shares of public limited companies. Financial assistance is not specifically defined, but includes the giving of a gift, a guarantee, security and an indemnity, waiving or releasing obligations and advancing a loan. It may be possible to avoid the consequences of this prohibition by reregistering a public limited company as a private limited company, provided that in giving any financial assistance that is not otherwise prohibited the directors of a private limited company could conclude that doing so was consistent with their duty to promote the success of the company.

## CONDITIONS, PRE-CLOSING COVENANTS AND TERMINATION RIGHTS

### Closing conditions

#### 22 | Are transactions normally subject to closing conditions? Describe those closing conditions that are customarily acceptable to a seller and any other conditions a buyer may seek to include in the agreement.

Signing and completion of transactions can occur simultaneously in the absence of legal or regulatory obligations to satisfy before completing the transfer of title to shares or assets. A seller will accept conditions relating to such legal or regulatory obligations.

A buyer may seek conditions regarding the accuracy of fundamental (relating to a seller's title, capacity and authority) and business warranties at completion and the absence of any material adverse change since entering into the transaction. A seller may sometimes accept extending conditionality to include the accuracy of fundamental warranties.

It is very unusual for English law-governed transactions to be subject to any financing conditions.

#### 23 | What typical obligations are placed on a buyer or a seller to satisfy closing conditions? Does the strength of these obligations customarily vary depending on the subject matter of the condition?

All parties will be expected to exert at least their reasonable efforts to ensure the satisfaction of any closing conditions. A 'best efforts' standard may be agreed that is more onerous and can require the expenditure of money, but it is not an absolute obligation to achieve the specified outcome.

A seller, particularly when conducting a controlled sales process, will typically seek to impose a 'hell or high water' standard obliging the buyer to take whatever steps may be necessary to ensure the approval of applicable regulators. This could include disposing of parts of its business, parts of the target business and commencing litigation.

### Pre-closing covenants

#### 24 | Are pre-closing covenants normally agreed by parties? If so, what is the usual scope of those covenants and the remedy for any breach?

A seller will typically agree to operate the target business in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, and will commonly agree to specify pre-closing covenants including:

- not to alter the share capital or make distributions to shareholders;
- not to acquire or dispose of assets, incur liabilities, enter into material agreements or commit to capital expenditure in excess of a specified value;
- not to create encumbrances;
- to maintain, without alteration, insurance policies;
- not to alter terms of employment or benefit entitlements or hire new employees on salaries in excess of a specified amount;
- not to commence litigation or waive any claims;
- to conduct the business in accordance with applicable law; and
- to grant access to the target company's books, records and premises.

A seller may also agree not to solicit competing proposals, to notify the buyer of any unsolicited approaches in respect of the target company or business and to assign the benefit of any confidentiality agreements entered into with other potential buyers.

In addition, the parties also typically undertake not to solicit senior employees, to maintain the confidentiality of the transaction and to

make public announcements relating to the transaction only with the other party's consent.

A breach of covenant will result in a claim for damages, which, unlike a claim for breach of warranty, is typically uncapped. An English court may make an order for specific performance to the extent that damages are not an adequate remedy.

**Termination rights**

**25 | Can the parties typically terminate the transaction after signing? If so, in what circumstances?**

Consistent with the concept that risk with respect to the company, business or assets passes to the buyer from the date of entering into the sale and purchase agreement, typically parties cannot terminate a transaction in advance of a negotiated long-stop date, except to the extent that any condition is, or becomes, incapable of satisfaction. It is very rare that a breach of warranty or covenant permits a buyer to terminate a transaction.

**26 | Are break-up fees and reverse break-up fees common in your jurisdiction? If so, what are the typical terms? Are there any applicable restrictions on paying break-up fees?**

Break-up fees are not common in the acquisition of private companies, businesses and assets. It is possible for an English company's constitution to restrict or prohibit certain transactions, including the giving of break-up fees. If not so prohibited, directors of an English company must be satisfied that agreeing a break-up fee is consistent with their fiduciary and statutory duties, including to promote the success of the company for the benefit of its members as a whole. A break-up fee may constitute unlawful financial assistance to the extent it results in a material reduction of net assets of an English public limited company. A reduction greater than 1 per cent is considered material.

The payment of a break-up fee by a listed company subject to the UK Listing Rules may constitute a class 1 transaction if the amount payable exceeds 1 per cent of the market capitalisation of the listed company.

**REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES, INDEMNITIES AND POST-CLOSING COVENANTS**

**Scope of representations, warranties and indemnities**

**27 | Does a seller typically give representations, warranties and indemnities to a buyer? If so, what is the usual scope of those representations, warranties and indemnities? Are there legal distinctions between representations, warranties and indemnities?**

A seller will typically give warranties and, subject to the negotiating position of the parties and specific issues arising from due diligence, indemnities but not representations.

Warranties given by a seller typically address:

- the capacity and authority of the seller to enter into the sale and purchase agreement;
- in respect of the acquisition of a company, the share capital of the target company and its direct and indirect shareholdings;
- the basis of preparation of the target's financial statements;
- the absence of changes to the condition of the business since the date of the warranted financial statements;
- operational aspects of the business relating to employees, pensions and benefits, real property, financial commitments, commercial contracts, litigation and investigations, compliance with law, intellectual property and information technology and tax; and
- in respect of a business acquisition, the condition and adequacy of the assets to be acquired.

Where a company or business is sold in an auction process, a narrower scope of warranties would be expected. If the seller is a private equity fund, it will typically limit its warranties to title, capacity and authority, and a buyer may negotiate with the target company management to provide business warranties in a separate deed (often referred to as a management warranty deed).

An inaccurate warranty will give rise to a damages claim for breach of contract whereby a buyer will have to prove that he or she has suffered a diminution in value of the asset purchased that is causally related to the inaccuracy of the warranty and is not regarded by law as being so remote that it would be unreasonable for the seller to incur damages, subject to a buyer's duty to mitigate its damages.

Subject to the negotiating position of the parties, specific risks identified through due diligence or disclosure are typically subject to indemnities (as a buyer is typically precluded from bringing a warranty claim in relation to a matter it is aware of signing). For example, specific indemnities may be given in respect of the outcome of ongoing litigation, the cost of remediating environmental damage prior to the buyer's acquisition or product liabilities in excess of an agreed level relating to the period prior to completion of the acquisition.

Subject to the particular drafting, an indemnity is an undertaking to pay in specified circumstances and so is not subject to the causation, remoteness and mitigation rules of a claim for damages.

Claims for misrepresentation can result in damages calculated on a tortious basis or under the UK Misrepresentation Act 1967, so a seller will typically exclude representations from a sale and purchase agreement.

**Limitations on liability**

**28 | What are the customary limitations on a seller's liability under a sale and purchase agreement?**

A seller's aggregate liability under a sale and purchase agreement will customarily be capped at an amount equal to the purchase price.

Business warranty claims will typically be subject to a separate regime whereby:

- each individual claim must exceed a materiality threshold (or de minimis) often up to 0.1 per cent of the purchase price;
- the aggregate value of claims must exceed a threshold, often between 1 and 2 per cent of the purchase price, with the entire value of claims then being recoverable;
- the seller's aggregate liability is capped, often at less than 25 per cent of the purchase price; and
- the ability to bring warranty claims expires 12 to 24 months after completion.

Fundamental warranties (and tax warranties are often carved out of the limitation regime.

In addition, more general limitations on a seller's liability will customarily include:

- knowledge qualifications in warranties and materiality qualifications in warranties and covenants;
- qualifying warranties with disclosure contained in the disclosure letter and all information contained in a data room;
- provisions granting the seller the conduct of claims brought by third parties; and
- barring double recovery and requiring the buyer to exhaust other available remedies.

## Transaction insurance

- 29 | Is transaction insurance in respect of representation, warranty and indemnity claims common in your jurisdiction? If so, does a buyer or a seller customarily put the insurance in place and what are the customary terms?

Warranty and indemnity insurance is a common feature of private M&A transactions in the UK, particularly in a controlled sales process or where the seller is looking for a clean break from completion of the transaction.

The insurance is intended to cover losses suffered by the policyholder where a successful claim can be made for breach of certain warranties or under a tax covenant. Typically, a policy will not provide the policyholder with protection in respect of specific indemnities that may arise as a result of due diligence by the buyer or disclosure by the seller. However, it is possible to negotiate insurance for known and specific contingent risks such as tax and environmental liabilities.

Insurance may be arranged by either a seller or buyer. A seller policy may be suitable where the seller is selling a company or business and intends to invest or distribute to its shareholders the proceeds of the sale.

A buyer's policy secures greater financial recourse than is offered by a seller, which is of particular assistance in an auction where low caps on liability may be offered or the only financial recourse available in respect of business warranties comes from target management.

An English law-governed policy will typically exclude:

- issues that are known to the policyholder;
- financial obligations payable as a consequence of the selected pricing mechanism;
- fines and penalties that are uninsurable by law;
- financial obligations resulting from pension underfunding;
- liabilities arising from transfer pricing; and
- issues that are specific to a transaction, such as liabilities arising from the use of asbestos.

In addition, a seller's policy would exclude recovery in respect of fraud by the seller.

Subject to prevailing market conditions and depending on the size of the transaction, policy limits will typically be approximately 10 to 30 per cent of the enterprise value of the company or business being purchased and will be subject to a deductible in an amount equal to 0.3 to 1 per cent of the enterprise value. The premium to arrange a policy will often be equal to approximately 1 to 1.5 per cent of the value of the policy.

## Post-closing covenants

- 30 | Do parties typically agree to post-closing covenants? If so, what is the usual scope of such covenants?

Parties will often agree not to solicit each other's senior employees (or extend beyond completion any such undertaking effective from signing) and a seller will often covenant not to compete with the company or business that has been sold. To be enforceable any non-competition covenant must apply to a reasonable geographic area for a reasonable time period typically considered to be up to two years.

## TAX

### Transfer taxes

- 31 | Are transfer taxes payable on the transfers of shares in a company, a business or assets? If so, what is the rate of such transfer tax and which party customarily bears the cost?

Stamp duty (or stamp duty reserve tax) is generally payable at a rate of 0.5 per cent on the transfer of shares in a UK-incorporated company. Stamp duty land tax (or land and buildings transaction tax in Scotland

and land transaction tax in Wales) is payable on transactions involving UK land at varying rates, from zero to 15 per cent, depending on the nature and value of the property and the status of the purchaser. These stamp taxes are subject to various reliefs and exemptions. Stamp taxes are customarily borne by the purchaser. Transfers of other business assets are not generally subject to stamp taxes.

### Corporate and other taxes

- 32 | Are corporate taxes or other taxes payable on transactions involving the transfers of shares in a company, a business or assets? If so, what is the rate of such transfer tax and which party customarily bears the cost?

A company or individual disposing of shares or of a business or other assets may be subject to tax on any chargeable gain arising (currently at a rate of 19 per cent for companies, and for most disposals at a rate of 20 per cent for individuals) subject to available exemptions or reliefs. Value added tax (VAT) is due (generally at a rate of 20 per cent) on supplies of goods or services that are not exempt for consideration by taxable persons for VAT purposes. Generally a sale of shares will be an exempt supply for VAT purposes. A sale of a business may be outside the scope of VAT if it qualifies as a transfer of a going concern for VAT purposes. The person liable to account for VAT will depend on the nature of the supply and of the parties, and on where the supply is treated as taking place for VAT purposes.

## EMPLOYEES, PENSIONS AND BENEFITS

### Transfer of employees

- 33 | Are the employees of a target company automatically transferred when a buyer acquires the shares in the target company? Is the same true when a buyer acquires a business or assets from the target company?

The acquisition of an English company does not alter the employment relations a company has with its employees. Where a business in the UK is acquired, Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006 (as amended) (TUPE) applies to automatically transfer to the buyer the employment and benefit obligations of the target business.

### Notification and consultation of employees

- 34 | Are there obligations to notify or consult with employees or employee representatives in connection with an acquisition of shares in a company, a business or assets?

TUPE requires that the seller and buyer notify and, if suitable, consult with trade unions or employee representatives (in the absence of a trade union) in respect of employees who may be impacted by a transfer of employment resulting from the acquisition of a business in the UK. The obligation to consult is triggered only where it is proposed that actions are to be taken that will impact employees who are subject to the business acquisition. A failure to comply with the obligations can result in compensation being payable equal to 13 weeks of salary.

### Transfer of pensions and benefits

- 35 | Do pensions and other benefits automatically transfer with the employees of a target company? Must filings be made or consent obtained relating to employee benefits where there is the acquisition of a company or business?

Pension and other employee benefit obligations remain the responsibility of a target company following its acquisition. The UK Pensions

Regulator has the power to issue financial support directions (FSDs) or contribution notices (CNs) in respect of underfunded defined benefit pension schemes, which can be triggered by a change in control of a company that results in a material weakening of the employer covenant (ie, the ability of the employer with responsibility for the defined benefit pension scheme to honour obligations owing to pension scheme members). If a target company sponsors a defined benefit contribution scheme, it is possible for parties to a transaction voluntarily to apply for a clearance notice confirming that the UK Pensions Regulator will not exercise its powers to issue an FSD or CN in circumstances in which there is an event that is materially detrimental to the ability of the pension scheme to satisfy its obligations. Clearances can be in respect of a single transaction or on an ongoing basis (which are of assistance to private equity funds that may envisage a series of transactions following completion of an acquisition, as well as a disposal or listing to realise their investment).

## UPDATE AND TRENDS

### Key developments

36 | What are the most significant legal, regulatory and market practice developments and trends in private M&A transactions during the past 12 months in your jurisdiction?

#### Brexit

The UK's exit from the European Union (Brexit) continued to dominate the political landscape in the UK during 2019 and into 2020. On 31 January 2020, the agreement on the withdrawal of the UK from the EU came into force. Consequently, the UK formally left the EU and entered into a transition period that will end on 31 December 2020. During this period, the UK continues to be subject to EU law and remains a member of the single market and customs unions. The purpose of the transition period is to allow UK-EU negotiations to take place on the nature of their future relationship, in particular a UK-EU free trade deal. It remains to be seen whether the UK and the EU will be able to reach an agreement on this by 31 December 2020.

#### Trends in deal terms and practices

While the uncertainty caused by Brexit undoubtedly had an impact on deal activity in 2019, the coronavirus (covid-19) pandemic has had an impact both on deal activity, with UK deal volumes in the first half of 2020 dropping to levels last seen during the financial crisis in 2008, and on certain deal terms in 2020.

In relation to those transactions agreed before the pandemic, there has been a focus on the legal rights and obligations of the parties under the documentation, including examination of deal conditionality, the level of effort required to satisfy conditions, the extent of pre-closing covenants and the ability to invoke material adverse change provisions or other termination rights. In general, it is difficult for a buyer to walk away from a deal governed by English law as the documents are typically subject to a very limited range of specific closing conditions and do not include termination rights. A seller (unless it is in a weak negotiating position) will only accept those closing conditions that are required by applicable law or regulation (such as receipt of mandatory antitrust approvals) and is very unlikely to accept a 'no material adverse change' condition. Accordingly, if a target suffers a material adverse change as a result of the covid-19 pandemic after signing, a buyer will generally not be able to walk away from the deal unless it has specifically negotiated the flexibility to do so. One transaction where the buyer is seeking to rely on material adverse change to walk away from a deal is WEX's acquisition of eNett from Travelport, with the UK courts due to rule on the situation in the autumn. Going forward, a higher number of UK private M&A transactions may well contain a buyer-friendly material adverse

change condition or termination right, particularly with a possible second wave of covid-19 on the horizon.

While the use of a locked-box structure has continued as the preferred pricing mechanism for private M&A transactions in the UK, the covid-19 pandemic has given pause for thought on the use of locked box accounts as buyers become wary of using historical accounts that pre-date the start of the crisis as a basis for pricing deals. For a buyer, absent a competitive sales process, there will be a natural preference to use closing accounts as the preferred pricing mechanism in order to ensure that the full economic impact of the covid-19 pandemic on the target has been captured in the calculation of the consideration payable by the buyer.

The other common feature of private M&A transactions in the UK has been the prevalent use of buy-side transaction insurance to enable a clean break exit for sellers. The transaction insurance market has remained open in 2020 with competitive pricing and policy terms as the same number of insurers compete for a smaller number of deals. That being said, some insurers have been pushing for protection from the impact of covid-19 (eg, by having a covid-19 carve-out for specific warranties or a general covid-19 policy exclusion). Insurers are also requiring robust diligence into the impact of covid-19 on the target.

#### National security considerations

In July 2018, the UK government consulted on proposed legislative reforms regarding national security and investment that envisage that national security issues would cease to be dealt with under the existing merger control framework. Under the proposals, the circumstances in which the UK government could assess national security would be increased. Events triggering a national security assessment would include the acquisition of more than 25 per cent of votes or shares in a company, acquisitions (or further acquisitions) of significant influence or control over a company, acquisitions of more than 50 per cent of an asset and acquisitions of significant influence or control over an asset. The proposals contemplate voluntary notifications being made and the UK government anticipates approximately 200 notifications annually, of which half are expected to be quickly screened out. The consultation contemplates that a full assessment could take 75 working days to complete, subject to a further extension by agreement of the parties and excluding time awaiting responses to information requests. The National Security and Investment Bill is expected before the UK Parliament this summer. The draft Bill has not yet been published but it is expected to adopt at least some of these proposals. If this regime is enacted, the timetable for transactions that trigger a notification could be significantly impacted.

In the meantime, on 22 June 2020, the UK government announced amendments to the merger control regime that extend its discretionary powers to intervene on national security or public interest grounds. Specifically, the amendments enable public interest interventions in takeovers of businesses that combat and mitigate the effects of a public health emergency and lower jurisdictional thresholds for transactions relating to artificial intelligence, cryptographic authentication technology and advanced materials. Transactions in these sectors will be reviewable if the target has a UK turnover of more than £1 million (in contrast with £70 million for other sectors) or the transaction will result in a UK share of supply of 25 per cent or more. These lower thresholds already apply to the military, quantum technology and computing hardware. The first reform came into effect on 23 June 2020. The lower turnover thresholds are to be debated and approved by Parliament before coming into effect later in 2020.

#### Pension regulation

In June 2018, the UK government published a consultation paper on improving the UK Pensions Regulator's role in scrutinising corporate

transactions. On 11 February 2019, the UK government published its response to this paper, which confirms the proposals that it intends to move forward in terms of the legislative process. On 7 January 2020, the Pension Schemes Bill was published, which introduces new criminal offences in respect of the avoidance of any debt due in relation to a defined benefit scheme, conduct risking accrued benefits in relation to a defined benefit scheme and failure to comply with a contribution notice. The offence of failing to comply with a contribution notice is subject to an unlimited fine, while the other two offences would be punishable by unlimited fines or imprisonment for up to seven years. Alternatively, the UK Pensions Regulator will have the power to impose a civil penalty of up to £1 million in respect of any of such offences. The Bill also contains a new duty to notify the UK Pensions Regulator of certain events that would have an impact on a defined benefit scheme. Such events are to be specified in regulations and are expected to relate to the sale of a material proportion of the business or assets of a scheme employer with funding responsibility for at least 20 per cent of a pension scheme's liabilities and in respect of the grant of security on a debt in priority to the debt to the pension scheme. The Bill was passed in the House of Lords on 15 July 2020 and will move for consideration in Parliament.

### Coronavirus

37 | What emergency legislation, relief programmes and other initiatives specific to your practice area has your state implemented to address the pandemic? Have any existing government programmes, laws or regulations been amended to address these concerns? What best practices are advisable for clients?

#### UK government and regulatory measures

During the second quarter of 2020, the UK government and a number of regulatory and industry bodies have taken a number of steps and adopted a number of measures in light of the covid-19 pandemic. These steps and measures were designed to provide financial support to businesses (eg, through short-term financing) and security of employment for employees (eg, through furloughing arrangements) and to reduce the regulatory burden on UK listed companies seeking to meet their continuing reporting and disclosure obligations or looking to raise funds in the capital markets.

While not directly relevant to private M&A transactions, going forward a buyer will want to ensure that it has carried out appropriate due diligence on the extent to which a UK target has availed itself of these steps and measures and complied with any related obligations.

To the extent that the counterparty to a private M&A transaction is a UK-listed company, the other party will be keen to understand the impact of any new or temporary regulatory guidance or forbearance (as a result of the covid-19 pandemic) on its continuing obligations, in so far as the same are relevant to the transaction in question.

#### Merger control regime

On 23 June 2020, amendments to the merger control regime that enable public interest interventions in takeovers of businesses that combat and mitigate the effects of a public health emergency (eg, a vaccine research company or personal protective equipment manufacturer) came into effect. These amendments were introduced to address the need for a secure and stable supply chain to help combat public health emergencies (eg, the covid-19 pandemic).

#### Corporate Insolvency and Governance Act

On 25 June 2020, the Corporate Insolvency and Governance Act was enacted. This Act provides for a number of temporary measures to alleviate pressure arising from the covid-19 pandemic. As regards UK private M&A transactions that require shareholders' meetings, until

# Davis Polk

#### Will Pearce

will.pearce@davispolk.com

#### Simon J Little

simon.little@davispolk.com

#### William Tong

william.tong@davispolk.com

5 Aldermanbury Square  
London EC2V 7HR  
United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 20 7418 1300  
Fax: +44 20 7418 1400  
www.davispolk.com

30 September 2020, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in a company's articles of association, such meetings need not be held at a particular place; votes may be cast by electronic or other means; they may be held without a quorum of participants having to be together in one place; and members do not have the right to attend in person, to participate other than by voting, or to vote by particular means. Members, however, continue to have a right to vote by some means, whether that is electronically or by the traditional proxy method. These temporary provisions are intended to ensure that companies are able to hold shareholders' meetings in such a way as would assist in the prevention of the spread of the coronavirus.

Davis Polk is a leader in global M&A. Clients call on our lawyers for advice on deals large and small, across the world and industries.

Clients benefit from Davis Polk's long history of innovation and creative problem-solving. We bring sophisticated judgment, commercial awareness and excellent client service to our clients on the full range of strategic and private equity M&A and commercial transactions.

Our M&A clients rely on the seamless integration of Davis Polk's unparalleled tax, finance, executive compensation and regulatory practices.

Learn more at [davispolk.com](https://www.davispolk.com).



New York  
Northern California  
Washington DC  
São Paulo  
London

Paris  
Madrid  
Hong Kong  
Beijing  
Tokyo

# Davis Polk

[davispolk.com](https://www.davispolk.com)

© 2020 Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP  
Attorney Advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

## Other titles available in this series

Acquisition Finance	Distribution & Agency	Investment Treaty Arbitration	Public M&A
Advertising & Marketing	Domains & Domain Names	Islamic Finance & Markets	Public Procurement
Agribusiness	Dominance	Joint Ventures	Public-Private Partnerships
Air Transport	Drone Regulation	Labour & Employment	Rail Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation	e-Commerce	Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy	Real Estate
Anti-Money Laundering	Electricity Regulation	Licensing	Real Estate M&A
Appeals	Energy Disputes	Life Sciences	Renewable Energy
Arbitration	Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Litigation Funding	Restructuring & Insolvency
Art Law	Environment & Climate Regulation	Loans & Secured Financing	Right of Publicity
Asset Recovery	Equity Derivatives	Luxury & Fashion	Risk & Compliance Management
Automotive	Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits	M&A Litigation	Securities Finance
Aviation Finance & Leasing	Financial Services Compliance	Mediation	Securities Litigation
Aviation Liability	Financial Services Litigation	Merger Control	Shareholder Activism & Engagement
Banking Regulation	Fintech	Mining	Ship Finance
Business & Human Rights	Foreign Investment Review	Oil Regulation	Shipbuilding
Cartel Regulation	Franchise	Partnerships	Shipping
Class Actions	Fund Management	Patents	Sovereign Immunity
Cloud Computing	Gaming	Pensions & Retirement Plans	Sports Law
Commercial Contracts	Gas Regulation	Pharma & Medical Device Regulation	State Aid
Competition Compliance	Government Investigations	Pharmaceutical Antitrust	Structured Finance & Securitisation
Complex Commercial Litigation	Government Relations	Ports & Terminals	Tax Controversy
Construction	Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation	Private Antitrust Litigation	Tax on Inbound Investment
Copyright	Healthcare M&A	Private Banking & Wealth Management	Technology M&A
Corporate Governance	High-Yield Debt	Private Client	Telecoms & Media
Corporate Immigration	Initial Public Offerings	Private Equity	Trade & Customs
Corporate Reorganisations	Insurance & Reinsurance	Private M&A	Trademarks
Cybersecurity	Insurance Litigation	Product Liability	Transfer Pricing
Data Protection & Privacy	Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Product Recall	Vertical Agreements
Debt Capital Markets		Project Finance	
Defence & Security Procurement			
Dispute Resolution			

Also available digitally

[lexology.com/gtdt](https://www.lexology.com/gtdt)